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Item E - Participation of non-State actors in governing body meetings
“The informal consultation recommends that EB144 consider the following measures to stimulate the participation of non-State actors in official relations in governing bodies meetings.

• (b) Incentives could be created for non-State actors in official relations to speak as clusters or constituencies. A limited number of speaking slots for non-State actors per session of the World Health Assembly and the Executive Board could be considered.

• (c) The Chairperson should exercise his or her discretion to ensure an effective discussion, including with respect to the time allotted for statements. Non-State actors will have timely notice of allocation of time for their interventions.

• (d) To consider continuing discussions on organizing an informal meeting with non-State actors in official relations. A concept note reflecting informal consultation’s discussions will be circulated before EB144 to facilitate further discussions. “ (Document EB 144/34, page 10,)
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Informal meeting/forum with non-State actors in official relations – main elements and perspectives

• “Overall, Member States welcomed the proposal of an informal meeting/forum bringing together Member States and non-State actors (nongovernmental organizations, international business associations and philanthropic foundations) in official relations.

• Views converged on the appraisal that the main objective of such an informal meeting/forum would be to allow for more interactions and more meaningful dialogue with non-State actors in official relations.

• The informal meeting/forum should be seen as an additional opportunity for interaction and would not replace current participation in WHO governing bodies, informal consultations and other forms of interaction.”

Concept note as appendix to Document EB 144/34
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“Nothing about us without us”

“During the informal consultation debates, several Member States have stressed that they are keen to hear the views and proposals of non-State actors themselves (in accordance with the principle of ‘Nothing about us without us’). Conversations with non-State actors in this respect should be transparent, inclusive and efficient.”

Concept note as appendix to Document EB 144/34
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The informal consultation recommends that EB144 consider the following measures to stimulate the participation of non-State actors in official relations in governing bodies meetings.

- Incentives could be created for non-State actors in official relations to speak as clusters or constituencies.
- A limited number of speaking slots for non-State actors per session of the World Health Assembly and the Executive Board could be considered.
- The Chairperson should exercise his or her discretion to ensure an effective discussion, including with respect to the time allotted for statements. Non-State actors will have timely notice of allocation of time for their interventions.
- To consider continuing discussions on organizing an informal meeting with non-State actors in official relations. A concept note reflecting informal consultation's discussions will be circulated before EB144 to facilitate further discussions. (page 10, item E)
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Questions for the conversation:

• **What’s the story?** What is really about?

• **How would the proposals influence NSA participation** in governing body and related political, regulatory and normative processes? Who would benefit, who would lose?

• **Feasibility check:** Are the NGOs in official relations participating in EB 144 able to integrate their assessments of the proposals in one statement of 2 Minutes?

• **Process related:** Will the “nothing for us without us” principle only be applied (and how?) for the debate on the meeting/forum proposal or for the whole set of 4 proposals?

• **Beyond proposals: Civil society demands** regarding inclusiveness and transparency?